

AMENDMENTS TO THE SPECIFICATION:

A substitute specification is provided herewith to facilitate prosecution of the application. Additionally, a marked reproduction of the original specification, showing changes effected in the substitute specification, is submitted herewith.



SUBSTITUTE SPECIFICATION

**METHOD FOR PRODUCING SOFTWARE FOR CONTROLLING
MECHANISMS AND TECHNICAL SYSTEMS****BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION**

The invention refers to a method for the control of mechanisms and
5 technical systems, as well as to the devices of an electronic control to be
designed for that and a method for the creation of the control software.

From DE 44 07 334 A1 a method is known for the creation and
representation of controls by that controls can be easily graphically designed.
The desired function of the control is graphically entered into a computer as an
10 event-driven network of symbols with freely choosable connections, or is
represented by a computer. The network transformed into a machine readable
form can be used by the computer or a separate control computer as control
programme. The method is suitable for programmable logic controllers and
DDC-systems.

15 From DE 195 13 801 A1 a method is known for the automatic
generation of a control for a process in that a non-deterministic automaton is
determined that describes all physically possible behaviours of the control, in
which the permissible state transitions of the process to be influenced by the
control are described, in which the automaton is set such that it fulfils given
20 safety requirements, in which the automaton is set such that it fulfils the

SUBSTITUTE SPECIFICATION

function of the system consisting of the control and the process. The method uses the programming language CSLxt to describe the components of the system specification. For the specification of the process model, not the state transitions are described in detail, but so-called predefined qualitative
5 constraints are used that serve to automatically generate the control.

It is disadvantageous that the description of state transitions can be faulty on a higher language level and a later correction of the control cannot be made easily.

Furthermore, programmable logic controllers (PLCs), hardware PLCs
10 software PLCs, programming systems and programming languages, Simatic S7 programming to the IEC 1131-3 standard, standard programming languages: ladder diagram, logic diagram, selection logic, Structured Text are known.

It is disadvantageous in the state-of-the-art that using Boolean algebra, in principle, conditions resulting from inputs (sensors) are formulated to set
15 outputs (actuators) that are continuously recalculated cyclically. This programming approach has developed historically. Evidence of this state is produced by the fact that according to the generally accepted standard, the "ladder diagram" can still be used as a programming language.

For all the CAE support by graphic surfaces and high-level languages,
20 basic imperfections have remained such as confusingness of the programme and

SUBSTITUTE SPECIFICATION

its individual character moulded by the programmer, never complete testability of the programme concerning its functionality, because the result of the cyclic calculations can be influenced by combinatory and time-dependent accidents, and the difficult design of sophisticated error reactions.

5 It is the objective of the invention to describe a control for mechanisms or technical systems that solves the control problem without use of conditions of Boolean algebra whereby a clearly arranged programme free of individual mouldings and completely testable is to be created.

BRIEF SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

10 The essence of the invention is that derived from the functionality of the mechanism or technical system to be controlled, particularly with its development, using technical means the functionality of the device to be controlled is filed, managed and updated in a control computer, which is designed to be a control, as a complete representation of the desired state of the

15 system according to the instructions and a comparison of that desired state with the actual state of the technical device is made via the sensor signals transmitted. This desired/actual state comparison is continuously made for all sensor signals of the system to be controlled. If there are deviations of the actual state from the desired state, prepared algorithms are processed and

20 prepared useful decisions are activated. Thus, each sensor signal is compared

SUBSTITUTE SPECIFICATION

with exactly one desired signal and this comparison is solely made to identify the state of the technical system. Changes in state are effected exclusively through instructions on a functional language level. These instructions are managed in a special domain of the control. When an instruction is started, the
5 desired state in the representation is updated and the change of the actual state of the technical system that fulfils the instruction is checked after a predetermined time.

The devices to be controlled are stored in the control in form of their elementary functions with the states of these elementary functions defined
10 according to the instructions and the appropriate signal representations of the sensors and actuators. As a result, starting from a defined reference state at the beginning of the activation of the control for all elementary functions, a continuous comparison of the actual states signalized by the technical system through the sensors with the desired state stored in the control is made.

15 According, each deviation in the system to be controlled from the desired state according to the instructions is detected. As a result, a new instruction that changes the state of the technical system updates when started and the desired state for making the comparison and supervises the time period until the new state defined by that instruction is signalized on the base of also stored
20 permissible transition times. As a result, sensor signals and comparable

SUBSTITUTE SPECIFICATION

information exclusively serve for the identification of the state. Furthermore, state changes take place exclusively through the start of instructions that are freely defined for that to occur on a logical-functional language level and to which the elementary instructions defined by sensor and actuator signals are assigned.

Advantageously the states of all elementary functions are managed as actual desired states with the appropriate actuators and sensors in a programme module referred to as EF-controller. Thus each change in state of the technical system that is detected by the sensors is evaluated for its equivalence to the desired state managed in the control.

A state of an elementary function of the signal representation which describes the state that is not equivalent to the desired state is advantageously transmitted to a programme module referred to as "not-desired state evaluator", in which for selected states of elementary functions reaction instructions are stored that are started on equivalence to the state transmitted for check. As a result, in all cases specific error messages are created.

To an instruction as a set of instructions, the new desired states of the sensors and actuators, the times of transition until the new desired state and the reaction instructions for selected state messages to be started in case of deviations, in each case classified as reaction instructions to be set and deleted

SUBSTITUTE SPECIFICATION

before the start and after the execution, respectively, are assigned. As a result, advantageously a programme module referred to as "instruction editor" undertakes the organization required for that in the system. Furthermore, in this programme module the release of a subsequent instruction in case of instruction sequences after signalling of the execution of the preceding instruction and the organization of parallel instructions by temporary starting of parallel execution sequences according to the demand is realized.

Advantageously, in the organized control system sensor signals and other information to be controlled are integrated into a continuous data word in a programme module here referred to as "state monitor". As a result, the address of the appropriate elementary function in the EF-controller maintains assigned to the signals and for executing the comparison, each desired signal is faced by the actual signal in equal structure so that a desired signal/actual signal comparison is made possible that can be carried out very effectively by a programme. As a result, any deviation of a signal after transmission for evaluation is entered as the new comparison state so that the comparison is always made to the state evaluated last. Furthermore, each change in state is evaluated only once. As a result, the comparison of the desired and actual signals is made directionally and after an interruption for the evaluation of a deviation the comparison is continued at the signal succeeding the interruption

SUBSTITUTE SPECIFICATION

place. This ensures that each state change that is sufficiently long in time can be detected and evaluated.

In a control system organized in this way each recorded state change is recorded by the programme module state monitor in an event-time protocol and stored there. As a result, in the simplest way process parameters defined
5 thereby become accessible so that also, e.g., signal vibrations can be detected and, if necessary, filtered out.

Advantageously, the programme modules that are subject to real-time processing requirements--instruction editor, EF-controller, state monitor and not-desired state evaluator--are combined to a functional unit that is referred to
10 as "execution computer", for which a special processor is used. The instructions formulated only on the logical-functional language level of the actual application programmes are organized in a second functional unit referred to as "instruction computer", which is not subject to real-time processing
15 requirements. As a result, in case of a bigger and more variable instruction volume the instruction computer usefully has an own processor and here also the communication can be designed to be comfortable.

Instructions transmitted from the instruction computer to the execution computer are advantageously executed without being checked. As a result, the
20 execution computer carries out each action autonomously. Therefore, in the

SUBSTITUTE SPECIFICATION

instruction computer blocking lists are managed on the logical-functional instruction level for the mutually exclusive states, which take on that proportion of blockings that is determined on the process and machine sides. As a result, here in the instruction computer an application process instruction (in addition
5 to the information which instructions have to be transmitted to the execution computer) also defines for which other application instructions blockings are to be set or deleted during or after the execution.

The execution computer can execute a received instruction autonomously. As a result, the instruction computer makes the checked
10 subsequent instruction available to the execution computer in an instruction buffer as intermediate storage. After that, the instruction computer updates the state in the instruction computer to the condition that will be after the execution of this instruction is made available. Furthermore, the subsequent instruction is checked in the instruction computer already during the execution of the
15 preceeding instruction in the execution computer so that as a rule a faster programme run can be achieved. Non-compatible instructions are identified and marked as not permissible already in the instruction computer and such an instruction is not started. If the prepared instruction is permissible, the state expected for the check of the instruction in the instruction computer will appear,
20 error-free execution provided. Furthermore, programme running is continued

SUBSTITUTE SPECIFICATION

while in case of an error, a reset is carried out to the state with regard to the current instruction as error state.

When producing a control programme the user of this control is advantageously supported by a dialogue with a development programme. As a result, the first description of the system to be controlled demands information on the hierarchical functional structure of the system. The lower end of this structure, in each case, is regarded as elementary function and each elementary function has to be defined in its instruction states also within a dialogue.

Furthermore, the sensor signals, actuators, control times for the transition between the states according to the instructions and a reference state for the start has to be assigned. The definition of the integration of more complex partial systems can also take place. As a result, the user of the control system provides only the above primary data and the control development programme therefrom generates the system elementary function memory, the EF-controller and the signal vector for the state monitor. Thus the technical system can already be put into operation, checked for error-free signal definition in the reference state, controlled with the defined elementary functions and be tested and checked as far as permissible with regard to single instructions.

For such a dialogue-supported system the application instructions are advantageously produced in such a way that in an instruction library elementary

SUBSTITUTE SPECIFICATION

functions from the previously defined elementary functions are assigned to the near-to-process application instructions as single instructions, parallel or serial instruction sequences. In addition, the blocking conditions on the instruction level in the instruction computer and, for the instruction set to be transmitted to the execution computer also the reaction instructions for selected deviations
5 combined with suitable error messages, which have to be entered into the not-desired state evaluator, are defined.

For a control system with that structure changes of the elementary functions maintain locally limited. Any time, also with calculable local effect,
10 new application instructions, blocking conditions or error reactions can be entered, extended or changed, or without any reaction to already defined programmes, specified by the allocation of state data for the system, new assignments of instructions and instruction conditions can be carried out.

The logical-functional structure of each programme produced in this way
15 can be completely checked. Important additional process information is accessible through the event-time protocol. An unambiguous cause is diagnosed for each malfunction without any additional measures. The state of the system can be completely indicated at any point in time in a defined manner. A copy with the same capability to describe the system to be controlled can be
20 maintained in an external control computer that has been connected into a

SUBSTITUTE SPECIFICATION

network with the system. The elementary functions and the defined instructions can serve as a direct functional base for the visualization of the systems and processes to be controlled. Furthermore, the communication of the control programme with other intelligent programme modules, such as simulations for
5 process optimization, can easily be organized.

For small-scale controls with a limited instruction volume the modules of the execution computer and of the instruction computer can be based on a principally equal structure and function of the control be enclosed in a control hardware module with fixed instruction sets, which are activated with simple
10 operating elements whereby an external computer can be coupled over a suitable interface so that the read-in of the control software and if necessary, also a comfortable communication and diagnosis can be realized. Hence comparable control characteristics and comfort at a reasonable price can be achieved.

The solution according to the invention avoids the imperfections of the
15 state-of-the-art by a programming approach that is unusual up to now, which makes use of the designed, hence impressed functionality of the system. New means completely substitute the signal interconnection using Boolean algebra in condition equations to set outputs.

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

SUBSTITUTE SPECIFICATION

Accompanying the specification are figures which assist in illustrating the embodiments of the invention, in which:

FIG. 1a representation of a basic classification of the functional ranges in the structure of the control;

5 FIG. 2a hierarchically classified functional structure of a technical system;

FIG. 3 information to be defined for the elementary functions on the basis of a general example;

FIG. 4a simple technical system in a schematic representation;

10 FIG. 5a functional structure according to FIG. 4;

FIG. 6a definition of the elementary functions according to FIG. 4;

FIG. 7a representation of input and structure of a data frame to realize the control;

FIG. 8a structure of an execution computer;

15 FIG. 9a content of an instruction as instruction set for the instruction buffer;

FIG. 10a representation of the function of an instruction starter;

FIG. 11a representation of the function of an EF-controller;

FIG. 12a representation of the function of a not-desired state evaluator;

20 FIG. 13a representation of the function of a state monitor;

SUBSTITUTE SPECIFICATION

FIG. 14a structure of an event-time protocol;

FIG. 15 an example of a formation basis for formal instruction names;

FIG. 16 an example of the definition of application instructions;

FIG. 17 an example of a blocking list managed in a control;

5 FIG. 18 an example of the determination of error instructions;

FIG. 19 an example of a control with more complex functions;

FIG. 20a structure and name definition according to FIG. 19;

FIG. 21 all data for an instruction library of an instruction computer
according to FIGS. 19 and 20; and

10 FIG. 22 features of an embodiment as small-scale control.

DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION

FIG. 1 shows the basic classification of the functional ranges in the structure 1 of the new control. The time-critical functions of the desired signal/actual signal comparison, reactions to deviations of the actual compared to the desired state and the activation of state-changing actuators according to instructions are assigned to the execution computer 2. Instructions received from the instruction computer 3 are processed by the execution computer 2 without check. As a result, the execution of an instruction and the reaction to deviations of the actual compared to the desired state are realized autonomously by the execution computer 2. It is useful, or even compulsory to reach shortest

15

20

SUBSTITUTE SPECIFICATION

reaction times of the control in more complex systems, to allocate to the execution computer 2 a hardware of its own with an own processor.

5 In the instruction computer 3 all control operations are managed on a logical-functional level. Here from device-related elementary instructions near-to-process application instructions are defined, filed and activated as single instructions, parallel or serial instruction sequences. Here on the logical instruction level also the management of the blockings for mutually exclusive States as alternatives to former locks and condition formulations over Boolean signal interconnections is carried out.

10 In this control concept all jobs that are not assigned to the execution or instruction computers are assigned to the application computer 4. This above all is the case of problems close to the process such as in the workpiece programme range of a CNC-control.

15 This control can be configured to be appropriate for problems of different size and complexity. As a result, equal principle apply of all configurations in the development system. In case of a very small number of instructions, the share of the instruction computer 3 can be assigned to the execution computer 2 as a software zone. Execution and instruction computers with own processors would be used for typical PLC problems of today with the
20 system operated via operating and signalling elements as well as the monitor.

SUBSTITUTE SPECIFICATION

Further, it is possible in all embodiments to couple a comfortable communication system, e.g. a transportable computer, over a simply designed interface for programming and commissioning or, in case of malfunction, diagnosis purposes.

5 FIG. 2 shows as an example the hierarchical functional structure 5 of a technical system. It is based on the development methodology that specific of each technical system, such a system structure can be built from the functional unit of the total system 6 over different functional units of the subsystems 7 up to the functional units elementary functions 8. In terms of the new control the
10 final branches of this tree structure are elementary functions characterized in that these functional units can have different states and cannot be further divided usefully, the functional states of which being of interest on the control side are no longer representative of combined states of other elementary functional groups to be controlled, as it is characteristic of higher-order non-elementary
15 functional units 6 and 7 in the structure. Here the position within the system to be controlled is decisive so that an intelligent system integrated through few elementary instructions is also classified as elementary function.

 FIG. 3 describes using a general example the information to be defined for elementary functions on a "data sheet on elementary functions" 9. In 10 the
20 name of the elementary function is defined that identifies this elementary

SUBSTITUTE SPECIFICATION

function. Usefully a functional diagram 11 shows the features of the states of the elementary functions with the allocation of actuators 12 and sensors 13. In the marked areas of the state definitions 14 the information necessary for the control is systematized and defined. The state definitions 14 indicate the states that can be taken by the elementary function, and the definition of the state-
5 assigned signal vectors 15 for the actuators 12 and sensors 13. Also here, the instructions 16 are defined that initiate the transition to a certain state. A control time 17 is predetermined for each of these transitions, which as a rule can be a multiple of the probable functional time and is only used to detect execution
10 errors if the ordered state was not reached. By the marking, one of the possible states is defined as the reference state 18

FIG. 4 represents a simple technical system for that in FIG. 5 the function structure and in FIG. 6 the definition of the elementary instructions is shown.

15 The hierarchic function structure described here and the definition of the appropriate elementary functions are, in their nature, primary development contents that can be documented already in a relatively early phase of the product development with only little additional effort.

FIG. 7 shows input and structure of the data frame when the new control
20 is used. The editing level 19 (Fig. 7A) includes both main components

SUBSTITUTE SPECIFICATION

hierarchic function structure 5 and the data sheet of the elementary functions 9.

Each functional unit elementary function 8 in the structure must be described by an appropriate data sheet on elementary functions 9. Completeness of the data

and their formal correctness is automatically checked on the editing level. If

5 there is a positive result of the check and if the user affirms the end of the system description, the input is closed and the data basis of the control for the described system is generated.

As the first step, the elementary function memory 20 is generated. This elementary function memory 21 contains all elementary instructions of the

10 system, all system states and the information defined for them, as described in FIG. 3. The formal name of the elementary functions are derived from the structure so that elementary functions get unmistakable names even if equal data sheets are used.

On the second step, the EF-controller 22 is generated. For this, in the

15 EF-controller 23 (Fig. 7B) the reference state of the system is generated from the defined reference states 18 of all elementary functions. For the actual state of the elementary functions also managed here, the data structure for the storage of the actual state of the elementary functions 25 is established by doubling the data structure of the desired state of the elementary functions 24. Already here,

SUBSTITUTE SPECIFICATION

when operating the control, a comparison could be made between the desired and the actual states of the sensors of the elementary functions.

Greater effectivity is achieved by the third step referred to as 26 for the generation of the state monitor 27 (also described in greater detail further down). In this step, from the desired signal vectors of the elementary functions 28 and simultaneously from the actual signal vectors of the elementary functions 29 the desired signal vector of the system 30 and the actual signal vector of the system 31 are formed. Each sensor in the system signal vector maintains the address of its origin assigned to it as the name of the elementary function 10 in the EF-controller 23.

FIG. 8 shows the structure of the execution computer 2 and its interaction with the instruction computer 3. The execution computer 2 receives an application instruction to be executed 32 from the instruction computer 3. This instruction is decoded in a module instruction editor 33. In this process application instructions are transformed into their appropriate elementary instructions and from the elementary function memory 21 the complete information content of the instruction set is given to these elementary instructions. This instruction set is entered into the instruction buffer 34 of the execution computer.

SUBSTITUTE SPECIFICATION

After acknowledgment of the termination of the previous instruction, the module instruction starter 35 starts processing of the instruction waiting in the instruction buffer 34 and carries out all activities involved. This concerns actualisation in the module EF-controller 36, in the module state monitor 37, and in the module not-desired state evaluator 38. The module instruction starter 35 enters the new desired state of the sensors for the concerned elementary function into the module EF-controller 36 and by setting the outputs according to the instruction, starts the appropriate actuator instruction. Also started is the control time 17 assigned to the execution of the instruction. In the not-desired state storage 39 the components of the instruction set "Not-desired instructions and messages" are entered.

After execution of the start activities by the instruction starter 35, the module state monitor 37 again takes on the comparison of the desired signal vector of the system 30 with the actual signal vector of the system 31. If this comparison detects a deviation between desired and actual signals, in the EF-controller 36 the actual state of the deviating signal in the actual signal vector of the elementary function 29 is updated.

In the EF-controller 36 the deviation is evaluated (described in greater detail in FIG. 11), either (a) without any other reaction as the state detected through the running time element "changing" and hence return of the activities

SUBSTITUTE SPECIFICATION

to the module state monitor 37, (b) through the detection of an executed instruction for equivalence of desired signal vector of the elementary function 28 and actual signal vector of the elementary function 29 in the EF-controller 36 and hence call of the module instruction starter 35, or--if neither evaluation
5 applies--c) transmission of the actual signal vector of the elementary function 29 to the module not-desired state evaluator 38. There this actual signal vector 29 is compared with the signal vectors existing in the not-desired state action storage 39 and on equivalence the not-desired state instruction 40 that is assigned to this case is started over the module instruction starter 35. If there is
10 no equivalence, return to the state monitor 37 takes place. In all cases, an appropriate message 41 is created. The range marked by 42 characterizes the time-critical activities.

FIG. 9 shows the content of an instruction 43, as it is entered as instruction set into the instruction buffer 34 of the execution computer 2. Line
15 (1) contains the designation of the elementary function 10 ordered for change, lines (2) and (3) contain the new desired state of the sensors, or actuators, respectively, and hence the desired signal vector of the elementary function 28, line (4) prescribes the control time 17 in which the change of the state to the new condition has to be made, line (5) contains the data for the updating of the
20 entries which apply after the start of the instruction in the not-desired state

SUBSTITUTE SPECIFICATION

action storage 39 for reactions with not-desired state instructions 40, and line (6) contains the same for the updating after the instruction has been processed successfully. The data on the lines (1) to (4) are in this case directly equivalent to the definitions of the editing level 19 concerning the elementary functions 8.

5 The lines (5) and (6) can contain, in addition, not-desired state instructions 40 from definitions of process-related data on the application instructions 32.

FIG. 10 describes the function of the module instruction starter 35 and the treatment of sequential instructions 44 as well as of parallel instructions 45. The instruction buffer 34 (Fig. 10A) is always loaded by the instruction
10 computer 3 with that instruction that follows the running instruction. Defined instruction sequences (=sequential instructions 44) are not different, in this case, from separately defined, mutually independent instructions.

Parallel instructions 45 can be executed independently from each other with regard to function and time, and for a time-optimal process, do have to be
15 executed in parallel. For any instruction defined as parallel, therefore, an instruction buffer of its own 46 at the interface between the instruction computer 3 and execution computer 2 is defined, from which parallel, mutually independent instruction sequences 45 can be processed. If after the execution of parallel instructions 45 there are no other parallel instructions, the opened

SUBSTITUTE SPECIFICATION

storage areas are closed again so that only actually needed buffer memories 46 exist.

FIG. 10 shows an example of three opened parallel instructions 45, from which the entered instructions 43 are started one after the other. If the check 47 shows that there is no other instruction is waiting in the buffer storage, then the appropriate parallel instruction buffer 46 is closed and the module state monitor 37 is activated (Fig. 10B). For a positive check result 48 the instruction content 43 is appropriately updated and started. After the end of these operations the module EF-controller 36 is activated 49. After reaching the ordered state 50 the 10 updatings defined therefore in the instruction set 43 are carried out by the instruction starter 35 and then the next instruction determined and started.

FIG. 11 indicates the function of the module EF-controller 36. The start 51 of an activity of the EF-controller is always activated by an actual change. This is either a new desired signal vector of an elementary function 28, which is 15 entered by the module instruction starter 35 in a new instruction, or an updating 53 of the current actual state made by the module state monitor.

The first check 54 compares the desired state to the actual state. In case of equivalence it is checked whether the change status 55 was set. If this is true 56, a running instruction ended, otherwise 57 the ordered state was regained

SUBSTITUTE SPECIFICATION

after a faulty deviation. In either case an appropriate message is created and the module instruction starter 35 is started 58.

If the desired and actual states do not agree, branch 59 is processed.

Again the change status is checked 60. If the change status for this elementary
5 function is before 61, the message "EF changing" 62 is created and the module state monitor 37 started. If there is no change status 63, the name and the current not-desired state actual signal vector 64 of the elementary function are entered into the evaluation memory of the not-desired state evaluator 65 and the not-desired state evaluator 38 is started.

10 FIG. 12 shows the function of the not-desired state evaluator 38. The start 66 of the not-desired state evaluator is activated by the EF-controller 36 after transmission of a not-desired state signal vector 64. In the first step it is checked, whether there are entries under the name of the elementary function 10 in the not-desired state action storage 39. (As it has already been mentioned in
15 FIG. 10, these entries are updated by the instruction starter 35 as information components of an instruction 43.) If there are no definitions for the elementary function in the not-desired state action storage 39, 67, only an error message 67a bearing the designation of the elementary function and the not-desired state actual signal vector 64 with the faulty signal marked is transmitted to the

SUBSTITUTE SPECIFICATION

higher-order control level--the instruction computer 3--for evaluation. Then the module state monitor 37 is re-started.

If in the not-desired state action storage 39, there is a not-desired state signal vector for the elementary function 68, the not-desired state actual signal vector 64 is, as the next step, compared for equivalence with the stored signal vector 69. If there is no equivalence 70, again only a concrete error message 67 is created and the state monitor 37 started.--If, however, there is an equivalence of the signal vector with entries in the action storage 71, the reaction instructions 72 defined for this case are transmitted to the instruction starter 35 to be immediately executed.

In parallel to the comparison, it is checked for the message to be created 73, whether there is an event control 74. In case of an event control 74, the system moves in the normal functional frame, an event which has been detected activates an appropriate action (e.g., switch-off of a pump when the upper level has been reached). In this case, the appropriate message 75 clearly distinguishes the event instruction of the elementary function 76 from error states. If it is not an event control 77, an appropriate error message 78 is created.

FIG. 13 shows the function and features of the module state monitor 37. If no other activities of the module run, the state monitor starts continuously the comparison 80 of the desired signal vector 30 and the actual signal vector 31 of

SUBSTITUTE SPECIFICATION

the system. This comparison always includes the whole system signal vector and is continuously repeated 81 when there is equivalence of the compared states.

When a deviation is detected, first, it is checked whether the system left
5 the waiting state und is to execute a new instruction. If this is true 82, then the module instruction starter 35 is started. If it is not true, the deviating actual signal is entered into the actual signal vector of this elementary function in the EF-controller 83 and is-as it has been explained for FIG. 11--evaluated there. A deviation can develop either by the presetting of a new desired state on the start
10 of a new instruction and entry into the desired signal vector 30 of the system by the EF-controller 36, or in the other case, by a changed sensor signal in the actual signal vector 31 of the system.

After entry of the deviating actual signal into the concerned elementary function in the EF-controller, this signalled actual state is entered as the new
15 comparison state into the desired state comparison vector 84. This ensures that each change is evaluated only once. Therefore, the desired comparison state of the system signal vector is defined as the comparison with "the last evaluated state" of the system 84. This makes it possible and useful to enter the detected event into an event-time protocol 85 that is described in detail in FIG. 14.

SUBSTITUTE SPECIFICATION

After the mentioned actions of the state monitor 37, this state monitor starts the module EF-controller 36. After evaluation, again-as within the functioning of the EF-controller, or instruction starter, respectively-the module state monitor is activated. It continues the desired/actual state comparison in the system signal vector at that signal that follows the last not equivalent signal.

This ensures that all signals of the system signal vector are compared one after the other and a vibrating signal cannot cause an infinite loop to run. Such a phenomenon could be imagined at another start of the comparison at the signal just evaluated, if this signal would change its state with the pulse of the signal transfer time.

FIG. 14 is intended to illustrate the design of an event-time protocol in form of a list. The first column includes the name of the elementary function concerned by the event, column 2 the signal concerned by the change, column 3 the changed signal state. These data are copies of the information that the state monitor transmits to the EF-controller. If the actual system time is entered in column 4, a process protocol is produced that can be used in many cases. In this example, it is marked by the first and last entries that the elementary function A11 with the signal E1 has again reached the first state. The times assigned to the events could be used if demanded as a precise measure of such a period. This protocol makes it also possible to detect signal vibrations and activate filter

SUBSTITUTE SPECIFICATION

if needed, that can reduce, for example, the scanning frequency for the vibrating signal. Dependent on the process and importance of the information as well as the available storage, longer periods of time can be recorded and stored, which can be used for the diagnosis of long-time changes, or based on a fixed
5 storage volume only the last, in each case, period can be available for, e.g., the evaluation of a breakdown.

FIG. 15 shows for the example of FIGS. 4 to 6, the basis of formation of the formal instruction names 86, which are derived from the function structure 5 and can be used for an unambiguous designation of the elementary
10 functions in application instructions.

In FIG. 16, the definition of application instructions and the determination of instruction blockings 88 for the application instructions is shown for the example of FIGS. 4 to 6.

FIG. 17 shows, as an example, the blocking list 89 of the system
15 Locking device managed in the control, and is intended to illustrate the dynamic action of the blocking conditions determined in FIG. 16. As to FIG. 17, it has to be emphasized that it is an auxiliary representation and there is no such table in the control. Only a storage area exists, in which at different points of time (shown here by t1 to t8) different conditions are entered by the instructions that
20 have been effective up to these points of time.

SUBSTITUTE SPECIFICATION

In column 1 all instructions of the system are listed. If an activated application instruction contains a blocking condition for another instruction, the causing instruction is entered as blocking condition in the other instruction. In this example, with its activation at time t7, the instruction EF2-B2 (locking) blocks the instruction EF1-B1 (Open door mover). As it has been determined, the locking bar should only be put in, if the door is closed-therefore the entry of the blocking at EF2-B2 with ordering the instruction "(Open the door) EF1-B1" at time t3.

It is essential for the function of the control that after the transmission of an application instruction 32 to the instruction computer 34 of the execution computer 2-which instruction the execution computer can execute autonomously as mentioned-the instruction computer 3 updates its state as it will be after correct execution of this instruction. For this state the permissibility of the next instruction is checked even during the execution of the previous instruction and this instruction released, if appropriate. In the example, during the execution "Unlocking the bar" at t1 the instruction "Open the door" is in the instruction buffer, which will be started at the time point t3 and will then simultaneously activate the check of the instruction "Close the door" for the time point t5 under the conditions of the time point t4.

SUBSTITUTE SPECIFICATION

This allows in a time-optimal way that with the termination of an instruction the subsequent already checked instruction can start or, respectively, it is detected even during the execution of an instruction that the prepared next instruction is not permissible for the system state coming. If there is an error in the instruction running in the execution computer, the instruction computer is
5 reset updated to the error state.

FIG. 18 presents an example for the determination of error instructions. Assume as critical that on closing--for any reason--the door meets an inserted bar. FIG. 18 shows the formulation of an instruction error as component of the instruction set "Close door mover". From the state of the elementary function
10 Bar lock $E1 = 1$, "Bar not free" is concluded and as the error reaction in the not-desired state evaluator, the process "Close door" is transformed into "Open the door".

Analyses show that as a rule, only few error instructions are required at a certain time point. On principle it is possible to react to any event by each
15 instruction.

FIG. 19 is another example for the potential of the control for the solution of more complex problems and different application requirements of a plant. For those different application requirements and the instruction and
20 blocking conditions resulting therefrom the term "status" 90 will be used.

SUBSTITUTE SPECIFICATION

It is assumed that two door devices be controlled either of which are equal to the example discussed so far. A device switch for each demanded operational status is added: in state S1 the doors can be independently of each other, in S2 both doors are synchronously opened or closed, respectively, and
5 in S3, operated as a lock chamber, always one of the doors maintains closed.

FIG. 20 shows the structure and the name definitions as they are designed by the control using the data given on the editing level 19.

FIG. 21 indicates all data for the instruction library 92 of the instruction computer necessary to solve the problem. The determinations established for the
10 closing device of one door are doubled for the direct door control of a copy on the generation under the new system name. All determinations on the control status of both doors are realised over new stats instruction sheets 91 that are selected over the status switch. For the status S3 instruction sheet, no elementary function was used but, by "Door x", a higher-order hierarchical
15 level in the function structure. Thus, very effectively, whole function areas can be blocked against state changes or selected by formulations such as "All except XXX".

FIG. 22 shows features of a small-scale control 94 in a technical device
95. The relatively small and fixed instruction volume of the small-scale control
20 95 is arranged in a control hardware module that includes the functionalities of

SUBSTITUTE SPECIFICATION

the execution computer 2 and the instruction computer 3. Operation is by the usual switch and indication devices 96. Over an interface 97, the computer 98 can be coupled so that all the functionality of the control for entering the control software and comfortable communication and diagnosis are possible.

5 The present invention may be embodied in other specific forms without departing from its spirit or essential characteristics. The described embodiments are to be considered in all respects only as illustrative and not as restrictive. The scope of the invention is, therefore, indicated by the appended claims and their combination in whole or in part rather than by the foregoing description.

10 All changes that come within the meaning and range of equivalency of the claims are to be embraced within their scope.

NOMENCLATURE

1. Structure of the control
2. Execution computer
- 15 3. Instruction computer
4. Application computer
5. Function structure
6. Functional unit Total system
7. Functional unit Subsystem
- 20 8. Functional unit Elementary function

SUBSTITUTE SPECIFICATION

9. Data sheet for elementary functions
10. Name of the elementary function
11. Function diagram
12. Actuators
- 5 13. Sensors
14. State definitions
15. Signal vectors
16. Elementary instructions
17. Control time
- 10 18. Reference state
19. Editing level
20. Generation of the elementary function memory
21. Elementary function memory
22. Generation of the EF-controler
- 15 23. EF-controler
24. Desired state of the elementary functions
25. Actual state of the elementary functions
26. Generation of the state monitor
27. State monitor
- 20 28. Desired signal vector of the elementary function

SUBSTITUTE SPECIFICATION

- 29. Actual signal vector of the elementary function
- 30. Desired signal vector of the system
- 31. Actual signal vector of the system
- 32. Application instruction
- 5 33. Instruction editor
- 34. Instruction buffer
- 35. Module instruction starter
- 36. Module EF-controler
- 37. Module state monitor
- 10 38. Module not-desired state evaluator
- 39. Not-desired state action memory
- 40. Not-desired state instruction
- 41. State message
- 42. Time-critical functional region
- 15 43. Content of an instruction
- 44. Sequential instructions
- 45. Parallel instructions
- 46. Instruction buffer for parallel instructions
- 47. Check result instruction buffer "No"
- 20 48. Check result instruction buffer "Yes"

SUBSTITUTE SPECIFICATION

- 49. Activation of the EF-controller
- 50. Activities instruction starter when "ordered state" is reached
- 51. Activity start EF-controller
- 52. Change of the desired state in the EF-controller due to instruction
- 5 53. Change of the actual state in the EF-controller due to sensor message
- 54. Comparison of desired and actual states in the EF-controller
- 55. Change status in the EF-controller
- 56. Alternative "Change status"
- 57. Alternative "No change status"
- 10 58. Activation of the instruction starter by the EF-controller
- 59. Alternatives for non-equivalence of the desired and actual states in the EF-controller
- 60. Check for change status non-equivalence of the desired and actual states in the EF-controller
- 15 61. Activity for change status and non-equivalence of the desired and actual states in the EF-controller
- 62. Message from the EF-controller "Elementary function (name of elementary function) changing"
- 63. Alternative in the EF-controller for actual state not equal to desired state and
- 20 no change status

SUBSTITUTE SPECIFICATION

64. Not-desired state actual signal vector

65. Evaluation storage not-desired state evaluator

66. Start of not-desired state evaluator

5 67. Action when not-desired state elementary function has no entry in the not-desired state action storage

67a. Error message on not-desired state elementary functions

68. Action when not-desired state elementary function has an entry in the not-desired state action storage

10 69. Comparison of the not-desired state signal vector with the not-desired state signal vector stored in the not-desired state evaluator

70. Action for non-equivalence not-desired state actual signal vector with not-desired state signal vector in the not-desired state evaluator

71. Action for equivalence not-desired state actual signal vector with not-desired state signal vector in the not-desired state evaluator

15 72. Reaction instructions in the not-desired state action memory

73. Check whether not-desired state actual signal vector belongs to an event control

74. Event control

75. Message event control

20 76. Content of the message event control

SUBSTITUTE SPECIFICATION

- 77. Error message if no event control
- 78. Content of the error message
- 79. Start of the module state monitor
- 80. Comparison of the desired signal vector of the system with the actual signal
- 5 vector of the system
- 81. Programme loop for the comparison of the desired signal vector of the system with the actual signal vector of the system
- 82. Transmission of the activity from state monitor to instruction starter
- 83. Entry of changes actual sensor signal from state monitor in the EF-controller
- 10 84. Comparison desired vector "last evaluated state" in the state monitor
- 85. Event-time protocol
- 86. Formal instruction names
- 87. Instruction blockings
- 88. Blocking list in the instruction computer
- 15 89. Blocking list of th example Locking device
- 90. Status of a device
- 91. Status instruction sheets
- 92. Instruction library
- 93. Application programme
- 20 94. Small-scale control

SUBSTITUTE SPECIFICATION

- 95. Technical device with small-scale control
- 96. Switching and indicating devices
- 97. Interface for computer connection
- 98. Transportable computer